## BIENNIAL STRATEGY REVIEW SYSTEM Chesapeake Bay Program



## Logic and Action Plan: Post-Quarterly Progress Meeting

## Stream Health- 2022-2023

**Long-term Target:** Continually improve stream health and function throughout the watershed. Improve health and function of 10 percent of stream miles above the 2008 baseline for the Chesapeake Bay watershed.

**Two-year Target:** Continually improve stream health and function throughout the watershed.

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential (to help fill this gap) to achieve our outcome?	What will we measure or observe to determine progress in filling identified gap?	How and when do we expect these actions to address the identified gap? How might that affect our work going forward?	What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
Lack of Knowledge regarding ecological stressors and factors affecting stream health	Joint meeting Urban Stormwater Work Group (USWG) and SHWG held June 4, 2018. Maryland Water Monitoring Council 25 <sup>th</sup> Annual Conference: Science, Where We've Been,	Non-biological factors are not considered for measures of stream health. We need more information on how they can be utilized and addressed.	1.3 4.1.3	Creation of one or non- biological metric for assessing stream health will indicate progress in closing this gap.	The creation of a metric will likely be a long-term project, spanning several workplans. When we are able to create that metric and use it to assess stream health, it will allow us to assess a stream's condition more holistically.	
	Where We're Going. Session on Stream Restoration	There is a lack of understanding regarding how a	4.1.2	The Stream Health Work Group will collaborate with USGS	The work on summarizing a stream's response to	

	Monitoring. December 6, 2019.	management practice will affect the stressors identified by the Maryland Biological Stressor Identification Index.		to compile information on a stream's response to management actions and use it to create a product document summarizing findings.	management actions will be ongoing as more actions are explored. Focusing effort on identifying stressors and quantifying stream response will allow for a better understanding of how to effectively manage a stream.	
Lack of holistic consideration of stream	Joint meeting Urban Stormwater Work Group (USWG) and SHWG held June 4, 2018. Ongoing research supported through the Chesapeake Bay Trust Restoration Research Grant Program (aka	There are no BMP crediting efforts for functional improvements in stream health. Currently the only BMP credits available are for sediment and nutrient load reduction.	1.3	The Stream Health Work Group will collaborate with USGS and other partners to compile information on a stream's response to	The work in this area will be an ongoing effort and will continue as proposals are funded. Going forward, this may allow for new kinds of	
health when considering BMP crediting	pooled monitoring approach) Recommended Methods to Verify Stream Restoration Practices Built for Pollutant Crediting in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed – Approved June 18, 2019	Few resources offer a holistic view of stream restoration and BMP guidance. They have an emphasis on sediment and nutrient reductions without consideration co- benefits	4.1.1	management actions and use it to create a product document summarizing findings. Results of pooled monitoring research.	BMP credited stream restoration that were previously overlooked because they did not offer significant nutrient and sediment load reductions.	
Heavy administrative burden for stream restoration projects	The Stream Restoration Permit Committee was formed and is preparing a survey to assess progress and need to improve	Cumbersome and lengthy stream restoration project permit review processes across watershed increases time to completion	3.1	The Stream Restoration Permit Committee will send out the stream permit survey at regular intervals and the responses will be tracked anonymously.	The workgroup will use the results of this permit survey as an opportunity to reassess the needs of the group. The survey will be completed by January 2020.	

Updated October 28, 2021

	permit process and project outcomes related to functional lift.	and decreases the number of projects that are able to succeed.		Survey results indicating actions reducing legal, technical, and administrative conflicts and resolution of identified issues will be considered progress to address this gap.	
	ICPRB hosted workshop on April 5-6, 2018. Developing a	Due to the nature of state's protocols in	1.2	Creation of one or non- biological metric that can be used to	The creation of a metric will likely be a long term project, spanning several workplans. When an additional
Need for a greater body of	2008 Baseline for the CBP Stream Health Indicator. Development of Baseline for Indicator via ICPRB Baseline Indicator workshop.	collecting biological data for the Chessie BIBI, the frequency of data calls are insufficient for yearly reporting change in stream health.	2.1.2	supplement the data for Chessie BIBI for assessing stream health will indicate progress in closing this gap.	metric(s) is created, it will allow us to have an annual view of how stream health is changing which will be
scientific research on stream restoration and applied stream			3.2	Results of pooled monitoring research.	useful in monitoring response to management actions and other local and watershed wide changes.
health			1.2	The Stream Health Work Group will	
	Joint meeting Urban Stormwater Work Group (USWG) and	No BMP crediting efforts for functional improvements.	1.3	collaborate with USGS to compile research on a stream's response to	In the long term, the Stream Health Work Group would hope to
	SHWG held June 4, 2018.		4.1.2	management actions and use it to create a product document summarizing findings.	see new BMP crediting efforts for functional improvements.
Greater coordination	Chesapeake Bay Trust: Restoration Research Grant Program.	Increased awareness of and involvement in projects from	2.1.1	In order to quantify progress towards addressing this gap, we	Long term, Increased, involvement of Chesapeake Bay states

between partners	Pooled monitoring Restoration Award Program (CBT) Presenting about the Pooled Monitoring Initiative at conferences and to key groups to reach both a federal and state jurisdictional audience Addition of "Pooled Monitoring" option in the draft MD MS4 permit.	states on pooled monitoring opportunities.	2.1.3	will look at the number of partners in the pooled monitoring effort overtime. An increase in the number of partners and the overall amount of funding will be regarded as progress towards achieving this outcome. An increase in Restoration Research applications to CBT from organizations outside of MD will also indicate progress.	engaged in the Pooled Monitoring Initiative will allow for greater awareness of projects/results, help refine key restoration questions, offer up potential restoration sites for research, apply to or spread the word about the Restoration Research Request for Proposals (RFP), and/or join the Pooled Monitoring Initiative as a funding partner to increase our power and support more key research efforts together.	
	The Stream Health Work Group has developed the Stream Restoration Permit Committee and is preparing a survey to assess progress and need to improve permit process and project outcomes related to functional lift. CWP and Ecosystem Planning & Restoration Training: Assessing and Restoring Stream	Inconsistencies between jurisdictions in stream restoration project permit review process.	3.1	The Stream Restoration Permit Committee will send out the stream permit survey at regular intervals and the responses will be tracked anonymously. An increase in positive answers will be considered progress to address this gap.	The workgroup will use the results of this permit survey as an opportunity to reassess the needs of the group. The survey will be completed by January 2020.	

	Functions, December 11, 2017				
	Joint meeting Urban Stormwater Work Group (USWG) and SHWG held June 4, 2018.	Stakeholders lack training and awareness of current restoration techniques and stream health.	5.1	Progress on this outcome will be measured by documented updates to stream restoration design manuals and standard operating practice. There will be an emphasis on communication between jurisdictions in order to update these manuals and ensure best practices across state lines.	Updating restoration design manuals and encouraging collaboration between groups will be an ongoing project spanning several workplans. The science of restoration is always progressing and ensuring that stakeholders and practitioners are up to date will be an ongoing effort.
Limited funds	Chesapeake Bay Trust: Restoration Research Grant Program. Pooled Monitoring Initiative has goals of expansion. ICPRB HGIT FY2018 proposal. <i>Funding through USGS,</i>	Limited number of partners on pooled monitoring effort.	2.1.2	In order to quantify progress towards addressing this gap, we will look at the number of partners in the pooled monitoring effort overtime. An increase in the number of partners and the overall amount of funding will be regarded as progress towards achieving this outcome.	The pooled monitoring effort is an ongoing effort and at this time, recruitment to join will also be ongoing. There is currently no limit set on the number of partners for this effort. As more participants join the effort, there will be a greater wealth of data and funds for use by the group which will allow for more work to be done.
	and the Bay Program.	Limited grant funding for Chessie BIBI does not cover any unexpected	1.1	NA – It is difficult to measure progress towards anticipating problems because these problems may	The Stream Health Work Group will work with ICPRB to anticipate challenges associated with the Chessie BIBI

barriers and	arise at irregular	and seek additional	
expenses.	intervals.	funding as necessary.	
		This is an ongoing effort	
		that the workgroup will	
		work to support.	

	ACTIONS – 2022-2023							
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline			
	ment Approach 1: ment the bay-wide C	Identify an appropriate suite of hessie BIBI	f metrics to measure t	the multiple facets o	f stream health			
1.1	Provide recommendations on reporting the Chessie BIBI metric to document improvement in stream health consistent with the Agreement Outcome.	<ol> <li>ICPRB and USGS report on the Chessie BIBI in stream miles and/or catchment scale.</li> <li>SHWG to provide recommendations on units to report Chessie BIBI (miles, catchment, both).</li> </ol>	ICPRB, USGS, US EPA CBPO	Chesapeake Bay Watershed	April 2022			
1.2	Determine and Report Progress	<ol> <li>ICRPB, USGS and EPA CBPO provide recommendations for organization responsible to periodically acquire and process available stream data from Bay States and DC.</li> <li>Acquire and process available stream data from Bay States and District of Columbia for the period of 2018 – 2023. Next call for data 2024)</li> </ol>	ICPRB, USGS, US EPA CBP Data Team, STAR	Chesapeake Bay Watershed	June 2023			

	ACTIONS – 2022-2023							
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline			
		<ol> <li>CBP calculate and report % change in Chessie BIBI index.</li> <li>US EPA CBPO will publish and disseminate results.</li> </ol>						
1.3	Identify additional parameters/metrics to describe and quantify stream health to complement existing biological indicators (e.g., Chessie BIBI).	<ol> <li>Consolidate and summarize existing Bay Program, USGS and other relevant research on stream metrics to include databases associated with the Chessie BIBI, USGS, Chesapeake Bay Healthy Watersheds Assessment</li> <li>Complete Phase 3 of the "Management Approaches to Reduce Stressors of Stream Health" (Action 4.1)</li> <li>Submit funding request to support Phase of the "Management Approaches to Reduce Stressors of Stream Health" work plan.</li> </ol>	SHWG, Healthy Watersheds GIT, USGS	Chesapeake Bay Watershed	June 2024			

	ACTIONS – 2022-2023								
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline				
	Management Approach 2: Provision of adequate funding and technical resources to support functional life in stream restoration projects, in addition to nutrient and sediment reductions.								
2.1	Support pooled monitoring approach throughout Chesapeake Bay watershed.	<ol> <li>SHWG provide input to existing pooled monitoring research program, including topics for research and dissemination support of the effort/results prior to issuance of the request for proposal</li> <li>Provide input on short- and long- term funding at the request of Chesapeake Bay Trust to support opportunities to expand /develop efforts (e.g., to other Bay Program jurisdictions) of the research program.</li> </ol>	CBT lead on Pooled Monitoring Initiative (members include MDE, USACE, FWS, MD DNR, MD SHA). SHWG lead(s) meet with CBT two times per year. Chesapeake Bay Trust Pooled Monitoring Initiative; SHWG	Maryland (current effort) District of Columbia, Virginia, and other interested jurisdictions (future, expanded effort) Potential other Chesapeake Bay Watershed funding partners/collaborators (future, expanded effort)	Annual December 2022 December 2023 See the CBT website for updates throughout the year at <u>https://cbtrust.org/re</u> <u>storation-research/</u>				

	ACTIONS – 2022-2023								
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline				
Managa	mont Annuach a. A	3. Identify selected research findings and/or their application beyond the research study to present at SHWG meeting(s), providing an opportunity to ask new questions, clarify the current state of scientific knowledge, and refine the top key restoration questions in the community for future study.	3. SHWG, The Chesapeake Bay Trust's Pooled Monitoring Initiative (with help from Maryland Water Monitoring Council Stream Restoration Monitoring Sub- Committee and Maryland Stream Restoration Association)	Chesapeake Bay	Annual (December 2022, 2023)				
-		agement Strategy for full Approa	•	in recerar and State	partiers is central				
	Develop a "Stream Restoration Permit Committee" of the	<ol> <li>Identify members of the Stream Health Work Group to form the Committee</li> </ol>							
	Stream Health Work Group that brings	2. Develop meeting schedule		Chesapeake Bay Watershed	Form committee by				
3.1	practitioners, regulators and the regulated community together to resolve	3. Develop and distribute survey	Permitting Committee: USACE, MDE, MDNR, VA DEQ, and Consultants		April 2022 Implement survey by December 2022				
	together to resolve issues and find common ground to identify actions to streamline the stream restoration project permit review process	<ol> <li>Summarize survey results and provide recommendations to Stream Health Work Group (and Bay Program Partnership) on priority actions identified from the survey</li> </ol>			Provide summary of survey results in April 2023				

		ACTION	S – 2022-2023		
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
3.2	Engage with under- served, under- represented communities to increase participation in stream health concerns	<ol> <li>Develop a one-page plain- language document on stream health and communities, written specifically for the targeted audience to assist in communicating with those communities</li> <li>Identify communities based on EPA's Environmental Justice Screening Tool</li> <li>Meet with community representatives to discuss the SHWG's goals and understand and document their concerns</li> <li>Share findings with LGAC</li> </ol>	SHWG, Communications Workgroup, GIT 5, GIT 6, LGAC, others	Chesapeake Bay Watershed	Communication Document complete by June 2022 Community Meetings complete by December 2023
Manage	ement Approach 4:	<b>Develop and Promote holistic</b>	stream restoration desi	ign guidelines that i	dentify the level of
degrada	ation and improvem	ent of stream functions and key	stressors/factors limiting	ng potential uplift.	
4.1	Collaborate with USGS as a part of their new Science Plan to investigate and define stream stressors and their management to improve stream health. This collaboration will be in order to better understand what	<ol> <li>Stream Health Work Group will collaborate with USGS to conduct a literature review and survey of Bay jurisdictions to determine what stressors and drivers are most affecting stream health and responsible for causing impairment of streams consistent with state-defined 303(d) listings.</li> </ol>	USGS will be responsible for conducting the initial review of literature on stream health stressors and will report out to the Stream Health Work Group. The SHWG membership/state representatives or referred colleague will facilitate implementation	Chesapeake Bay Watershed	Work is underway and expected to be complete by December 2021

	ACTIONS – 2022-2023							
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline			
	factors lead to functional uplift and		of the survey.					
	which may lead to degradation.	2. Determine which stressors, as identified by work with USGS, can be changed through management activities, especially those management activities that align with practices identified in the new jurisdiction Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) to reduce nutrient and sediment delivery to the Bay	SHWG, USGS, Center for Watershed and Protection, Technical Advisory Group for CBT/GIT project "Management Approaches to Reduce Stressors of Stream Health"		Work is underway and expected to be complete by March 2022; ongoing			
		3. Following the implementation of management efforts, identify how stream health is changing and how it can be better characterized through both biological and non-biological metrics	Responsible parties for phase 3 (Action 4.1.3) will be determined upon GIT funding and completion of phases 1 and 2. Tentative RPs: SHWG, USGS, and others may include ICPRB		December 2024; ongoing			

ACTIONS – 2022-2023									
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline				
4.2	Develop report and recommendations on the State of Science for Stream Restoration and impacts on stream health	<ol> <li>Form Planning Committee for STAC Workshop</li> <li>Submit request for STAC workshop on the "State of the Science" stream restoration workshop</li> <li>Plan and execute STAC workshop on the "State of the Science" stream restoration if funded</li> </ol>	SHWG, STAC, MWMC, MSRA, USWG, Forestry WG		December 2023				
Management Approach 5: Work with CB partners to include the Enhancing Partnering, Leadership and Management GIT to enhance the capacity of local governments, organizations and landowners of beneficial stream restoration and maintenance practices.									
5.1	Improve communications and understanding of stream health	Develop summary documents of the results of the "Management Approaches to Reduce Stressors of Stream Health" reports as part of Action 4.1	SHWG, CBPO Communications Team/Indicators Coordinator/Data Team	Bay-wide	December 2024				

	ACTIONS – 2022-2023								
Action #	Description	Performance Target (s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline				
5.2	Committed cooperation and coordination with other groups within the Chesapeake Bay Program to assure shared resources and information and further the goals of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement	<ol> <li>Have one member of the stream health workgroup other than the staffer, attend in person or listen in on the work group meetings of other relevant work groups and goal teams</li> <li>Workgroup members/interested parties who are involved with other workgroups, will share updates during the Stream Health Workgroup Meetings on any relevant projects/activities being done by those workgroups.</li> </ol>	Stream Health Work Group Membership, CBP GIT members	Chesapeake Bay Watershed	Ongoing				